Commenting Program Source Code

**Comment the program, not the code.** Anyone reading a source file will be a programmer – they don't need code explained! If they want to know *how* the code works, they will read the code.

**Comment on the purpose:** *Why* was this [program, function, structure] written in the first place? What functionality does the program provide [input - process - output] that addresses the needs, goals, or intent of the user? It may help to consider "What story does this code tell?"

**Do describe program operation in terms of how it interacts with the user** (the user is the input source and output target outside the system boundary). In what way does the program process / transform the input to satisfy the user's purpose and meet the user's objective?

75% of IT coding budgets are spent on maintenance. During new programming development (the remaining 25% of coding budgets), comments are often extensive; they explain the intent of the code to be written, its purpose, the reasoning behind the logic to be implemented. Yes, the comments are written *before* the code. Development comments should satisfy the mythical DoWIM compiler: the “Do What I Mean” compiler ignores code and compiles comments.

Comments are for your future self at 4am when you’ve been called out of bed to fix a program crash.  
Comments are for programmers who will maintain the code in the future.   
Comments are read only by IT professionals who may very well know the language better than you. *You don’t need to explain the code.* If they want to know how the code works, they will read and analyse the code. Most of the time, they want to know IF this is the source file they should be working on, the purpose of the program in general, what service that a function provides, and in the case of individual structures (a loop, a series of nested IF – ELSE), what the structure accomplishes.

Comments should be brief and informative. One or two sentences takes seconds to read. A few lines of code can take minutes to analyse, compile in one’s head, imagine a walkthrough, check the results, and still not be understand completely.

Without good comments, the code is neither acceptable nor economically maintainable in a professional environment.

**Program comments** appear at the beginning of a source file.

/\*

Origin: *Name, email, ID, Date written, Course, Project*  
*[executable filename]* : *[title of program]*  
Purpose: *[what this program does, what problem does it solve?]*  
\*/

**Functions** – The function’s name should serve as the title / purpose of the function. The following comment(s) appear after the function() declaration and above the function’s code.

/\*  
Purpose: *[what this function does, what problem does it solve?]*

Parameters: *Include only if needed to explain values passed when called. Ideally, parameter names are self-explanatory.*Returns: *Include and explain if not void. Nobody wants to read through your code to discover all the different values for int that the function returns or what those values mean.*Modifies: *Include and explain if values in global variables or variables with pointers (pass by reference) are changed.* This is a critical comment for future maintenance. *Everyone does not notice that a variable modified in the body of the function is* not *in the parameter list (global variable), nor do they scour the function’s parameter list for an \*asterisk (pointer).* [*Information hiding*](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_hiding) *is assumed in functions: the function is expected to be a “*[*black box*](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_box)*” which does not change the state of anything outside the function. If that is not the case, the function has “*[*side effects*](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Side_effect_(computer_science))*” and that must be clearly documented.*\*/

**Comment individual lines of code judiciously.**

Inline comments are placed on the same line as the code, tabbed to stand apart from the code.  
This format makes it easy to see the code and the comments, separately (vertically) or together (horizontally).  
cryptic = C + code; // explain this line's purpose in the program  
crypticly = C + moreCode; // explain this line's purpose in the program

DO NOT DO THIS:

cryptic = C + code;   
// this comment might apply to the code above or the code below. It's hard to know which without analysing the lines above and below, thereby defeating the purpose of a comment. It adds more heat than light. It adds more confusion than clarification. And it is too long.  
crypticly = C + moreCode;

Header.h files #included in a program deserve // inline explanation *only* if they are not standard C libraries. Give a summary of the \_\_.h file so the reader knows why it is there.

**Structures**Commenting of a { structure } states its purpose, so the reader does not have to analyse multiple lines of code to see how they work together to accomplish something.

// *[what the structure accomplishes]*e.g.   
// compute factorial

// prompt user until value within range 1 – 100 is input

Code Sample

printf("Type a few words separated by space(q - to quit):\n");

gets(words);

while (strcmp(words, "q") != 0)

{

word = strtok(words, " ");

w\_counter = 1;

while (word) {

printf("Word #%d is \'%s\'\n", w\_counter++, word);

word = strtok(NULL, " ");

}

printf("Type a few words separated by space(q - to quit):\n");

gets(words);

}

1. the first **while** continues until the 'words' variable is equal to "q". What is it for?
2. there is another **while** {structure} ... what does it do?
   * How does it know when to stop looping? 'word' does not seem like a self-explanatory boolean.
   * **// keep looping until pointer is NULL**This just says what the code says. It should explain what work the while loop accomplishes -- think in terms of a specification/instruction an analyst would write to tell a programmer what code to create.